

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,140	02/22/2002	Thomas Wyss	Rovema Case 27	8515	
7590 11/18/2003			EXAMINER		
FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.			DURAND, PAUL R		
2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	1 4
100	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1
Advisory Action	10/081,140	WYSS ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Durand	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ed
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, and the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee uthe final Office action; or (2) as set fo	nder rth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendn	nent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place t	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 5,10 and 13.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,9,11 and 12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. ☐ Other:	, .	1 4//	
		MARKEN	
	Superv	Rinaldi I. Rada isory Patent Examiner Group 3700	

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/081,140



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of claim 14, introduces new matter concerning the opening operation of the cycloidal arm that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: after reconsideration, the examiner asserts that the claims as written, still read and are rejectable with the cited prior art. While the examiner does contend that the cited art does not specifically disclose the use of three distinct conveyors, that open, and then transport the box through the expansion chamber, there is also no such limitation recited in the claims. The limitation focuses around the limitations "devices" and "rotating deivces" which could encompass a conveyor or any other device, capable of rotation, that can transport a container.